

IV. Cohomology of a chain complex

1. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_p, \partial\}$ be a chain complex of abelian groups (or R -modules) and G be an abelian group (or an R -module).

$C^p(\mathcal{C}; G) = \text{Hom}(C_p, G)$: p -dimensional cochain group of \mathcal{C} .
 (or $\text{Hom}_R(C^p, G)$: p -dimensional cochain R -module of \mathcal{C} .)

coboundary operator $\delta : C^p \rightarrow C^{p+1}$ is the dual of $\partial : C_{p+1} \rightarrow C_p$.
 $\Rightarrow \delta^2 = 0$, since $\partial^2 = 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rightarrow C_{p+2} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_p \rightarrow \cdots & \delta(\alpha) := \alpha \circ \partial \text{로 정의되며, 또한} \\ & \begin{array}{c} \searrow \alpha \circ \partial \\ \downarrow \alpha \\ G \end{array} & \delta^2(\alpha) := (\alpha \circ \partial) \circ \partial = \alpha \circ \partial^2 = 0 \text{이} \\ & & \text{성립한다. 따라서,} \\ \cdots \rightarrow C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_p \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots & & \\ \Rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow C^{p+1} \xleftarrow{\delta} C^p \xleftarrow{\delta} C^{p-1} \leftarrow \cdots : \mathcal{C}^* = \{C^p, \delta\} : \text{cochain complex.} \end{array}$$

Homology of \mathcal{C}^* is the cohomology of \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^p(\mathcal{C}; G) &:= \ker \delta \subset C^p, B^p(\mathcal{C}; G) := \text{im } \delta \subset Z^p(\mathcal{C}; G) \\ H^p(\mathcal{C}; G) &:= Z^p(\mathcal{C}; G) / B^p(\mathcal{C}; G) \\ &: \text{cohomology of } \mathcal{C} \text{ with coefficient } G \text{ in dim } p \end{aligned}$$

Simplicial cohomology if \mathcal{C} is the simplicial chain complex.

Singular cohomology if \mathcal{C} is the singular chain complex.

2. Cohomology of augmented chain complex,

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is called the reduced cohomology of \mathcal{C} and denoted by $\tilde{H}^p(\mathcal{C}; G)$.

$$\text{Note } \begin{cases} \tilde{H}^p(\mathcal{C}; G) = H^p(\mathcal{C}; G) & \text{if } p > 0 \\ H^0(\mathcal{C}; G) = \tilde{H}^0(\mathcal{C}; G) \oplus G & \text{(Exercise)} \end{cases}$$

3. Functorial property

A chain map $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces a chain map $\tilde{\phi} : \mathcal{D}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*$ between the cochain complexes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\cdots \rightarrow C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_p \rightarrow \cdots & \Rightarrow & \cdots \leftarrow C^{p+1} \xleftarrow{\delta} C^p \leftarrow \cdots \\
\phi_{p+1} \downarrow & & \uparrow \widetilde{\phi}_{p+1} \quad \widetilde{\phi}_p \uparrow \\
\cdots \rightarrow D_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} D_p \rightarrow \cdots & & \cdots \leftarrow D^{p+1} \xleftarrow{\delta} D^p \leftarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

$\phi \circ \partial = \partial \circ \phi \Rightarrow \widetilde{\phi \circ \partial} = \widetilde{\partial \circ \phi} \Rightarrow \delta \circ \widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{\phi} \circ \delta$ 이 성립.

Since $\widetilde{\phi}$ is a chain map, it induces a homomorphism $\phi^* : H^p(\mathcal{D}; G) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{C}; G)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f : X \rightarrow Y \\
& \Rightarrow f_{\#} : S_p(X) \rightarrow S_p(Y) : \text{singular chain map.} \\
& \Rightarrow f^{\#} : S^p(Y) \rightarrow S^p(X) : \text{singular cochain map.} \\
& \Rightarrow f^* : H^p(Y; G) \rightarrow H^p(X; G) \\
& \text{, where } H^p(X; G) = H^p(S(X); G) \\
& \text{: singular cohomology of } X \text{ with coefficient } G.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the simplicial case.

Now

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z & \Rightarrow (g \circ f)_{\#} = g_{\#} \circ f_{\#} \\
\uparrow \sigma & \Rightarrow (g \circ f)^{\#} = f^{\#} \circ g^{\#} \\
\triangle \nearrow f \circ \sigma = f_{\#}(\sigma) & \Rightarrow (g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^* \text{ in } H^p
\end{array}$$

And $id_{\#} = id. \Rightarrow id.^* = id.$

$\therefore H^p : \mathcal{T}op \rightarrow \mathcal{A}bel. \text{ groups (or } R - \mathcal{M}od) : \text{contravariant functor.}$

4. Chain homotopy and equivalence

Let $D : \phi \simeq \psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be a chain homotopy, i.e., $\partial D + D\partial = \phi - \psi$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\cdots \rightarrow C_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C_p \xrightarrow{\partial} C_{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots & \Rightarrow & \cdots \leftarrow C^{p+1} \xleftarrow{\delta} C^p \leftarrow C^{p-1} \leftarrow \cdots \\
\downarrow \swarrow_D \phi \downarrow \psi \swarrow_D & & \uparrow \widetilde{D} \nearrow \delta \quad \widetilde{\psi} \uparrow \widetilde{\phi} \nearrow \widetilde{D} \\
\cdots \rightarrow C'_{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} C'_p \xrightarrow{\partial} C'_{p-1} \rightarrow \cdots & & \cdots \leftarrow C'^{p+1} \xleftarrow{\delta} C'^p \leftarrow C'^{p-1} \leftarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \widetilde{D} \circ \widetilde{\partial} + \widetilde{\partial} \circ \widetilde{D} = \widetilde{\phi} - \widetilde{\psi} \\
& \Rightarrow \delta \circ \widetilde{D} + \widetilde{D} \circ \delta = \widetilde{\phi} - \widetilde{\psi} \\
& \Rightarrow \widetilde{D} : \widetilde{\phi} \simeq \widetilde{\psi}, \text{ cochain homotopy.}
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\phi^* = \psi^*$.

$\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$, a chain homotopy equivalence
 $\Rightarrow \phi_*$ and ϕ^* are isomorphisms.

따름정리 1 $f \simeq g : X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow f_{\#} \simeq g_{\#} : S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$.

$$f^* = g^* : H^*(Y) \rightarrow H^*(X)$$

Similarly for pairs, $f \simeq g : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$,

where $H^p(X, A; G) := H^p(S(X, A); G)$.

5. Long exact sequence for pairs.

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \rightarrow S(A) \rightarrow S(X) \rightarrow S(X)/S(A) = S(X, A) \rightarrow 0 : \text{s.e.s.} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{snake}} & \cdots \rightarrow H_p(A) \rightarrow H_p(X) \rightarrow H_p(X, A) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{p-1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots : \text{l.e.s. of } (X, A). \end{aligned}$$

More generally,

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 : \text{s.e.s.} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{snake}} & \cdots \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \cdots : \text{l.e.s.} \end{aligned}$$

If the dual sequence of a short exact sequence is short exact, then we still obtain a long exact sequence by the snake lemma. But in general,

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 : \text{s.e.s.} \\ \Rightarrow & 0 \leftarrow A^* \leftarrow B^* \leftarrow C^* \leftarrow 0 : \text{s.e.s.} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., **Hom functor does not preserve short exact sequence!**

Exactness of Hom functor

정리 2 (1) $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0 : \text{exact} \Rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, G) \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}} \text{Hom}(C, G) \leftarrow 0 : \text{exact}$.

(2) $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0 : \text{exact}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}} \text{Hom}(B, G) \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}} \text{Hom}(C, G) \leftarrow 0 : \text{exact}.$$

(3) $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 : \text{split exact}$.

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leftarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}} \text{Hom}(B, G) \xleftarrow{\tilde{g}} \text{Hom}(C, G) \leftarrow 0 : \text{split exact}.$$

증명 (1) $B \xrightarrow{g} C$
 $\searrow \tilde{g}(\alpha) = \alpha \circ g \quad \downarrow \alpha$
 G Show \tilde{g} is one to one : $\tilde{g}(\alpha) = \alpha \circ g = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \alpha = 0$ since g is onto.

(2) $g \circ f = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g} = 0.$

$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$
 $\searrow 0 \quad \downarrow \beta \quad \swarrow \tilde{\beta}$
 G $\tilde{f}(\beta) = \beta \circ f = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \ker \beta \supset \text{im } f = \ker g$ and $C \cong B / \ker g$
 $\Rightarrow \beta$ induces $\tilde{\beta} : C \rightarrow G$ and
 $\tilde{g}(\tilde{\beta}) = \tilde{\beta} \circ g = \beta$

(3) Since short exact sequence splits, there exists $p : B \rightarrow A$ such that $p \circ f = \text{id}_A$.

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$$

$\swarrow p$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{p} = \tilde{\text{id}} = \text{id} \Rightarrow \tilde{f}$ is onto and Hom-sequence splits. □

Remark(1)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow[\tilde{f}]{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{exact}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leftarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow 0 \quad \text{exact(?)}$$

를 살펴보면, 우선 $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ 이고 따라서 \tilde{f} 는 \mathbb{Z} 의 1을 2로 보내는 $\times 2$ 인 map임을 알 수 있다. 따라서 onto가 될 수 없고, 물론 exact가 아니다.

(2) In general,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow[\tilde{f}]{\times n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \xleftarrow[\tilde{f}]{\times n} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/n, G) \leftarrow 0$$

$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}$
 $\searrow \tilde{f}(\alpha) \quad \downarrow \alpha$
 G a homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$
is determined by $\alpha(1) \in G$ and
hence $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \cong G$.
 $\tilde{f}(\alpha)(1) = \alpha(f(1)) = \alpha(n) = n\alpha(1) \Rightarrow \tilde{f}(\alpha) = n\alpha$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/n, G) \cong \ker(G \xrightarrow{\times n} G)$$

만약 $G = \mathbb{Z}/m$ 이면 $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/n, G)$ 는 어떻게 되는가?(Exercise) 이들로부터 우리는 주어진 finitely generated abelian group A 에 대해서 $\text{Hom}(A, G)$ 를 계산

할 수 있다.

Return to long exact sequence:

우선 $S_p(X, A)$ 가 free이므로

$$0 \rightarrow S_p(A) \rightarrow S_p(X) \rightarrow S_p(X, A) \rightarrow 0$$

splits for each p , hence by the above argument,

$$0 \leftarrow S^p(A) \leftarrow S^p(X) \leftarrow S^p(X, A) \leftarrow 0$$

is exact(split) for each p . Applying snake lemma, we obtain

$$\dots \leftarrow H^p(A; G) \leftarrow H^p(X; G) \leftarrow H^p(X, A; G) \xleftarrow{\delta^*} H^{p-1}(A; G) \leftarrow \dots$$

Of course, it is also true for reduced cohomology.

Note. In the above l.e.s., the connecting homomorphism δ^* is given as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 0 & \succ & C_{p+1} & \succ & D_{p+1} & \succ & E_{p+1} & \succ & 0 & \Rightarrow & 0 & \leftarrow & C^{p+1} & \leftarrow & D^{p+1} & \leftarrow & E^{p+1} & \leftarrow & 0 \\
 & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & \partial \downarrow & & & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & C_p & \rightarrow & D_p & \rightarrow & E_p & \rightarrow & 0 & & 0 & \leftarrow & C^p & \leftarrow & D^p & \leftarrow & E^p & \leftarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & &
 \end{array}$$



Furthermore, long exact sequence is functorial.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{D} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \rightarrow & 0 & \text{chain maps} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C}' & \rightarrow & \mathcal{D}' & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E}' & \rightarrow & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

⇒

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \leftarrow & \mathcal{C}^* & \leftarrow & \mathcal{D}^* & \leftarrow & \mathcal{E}^* \leftarrow 0 & \text{cochain maps} \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 0 & \leftarrow & \mathcal{C}'^* & \leftarrow & \mathcal{D}'^* & \leftarrow & \mathcal{E}'^* \leftarrow 0 &
 \end{array}$$

⇒ Functoriality of long exact sequence follows from the earlier result. In particular, $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B) \Rightarrow f_*(f^*, \text{resp.})$ induces a homomorphism for long exact sequence of $(X, A)((Y, B), \text{resp.})$ to the long exact sequence of $(Y, B)((X, A), \text{resp.})$.

Long exact sequence of triples : $A \subset B \subset X \Rightarrow \exists$ a functorial long exact sequence,

$$\dots \leftarrow H^p(B, A) \leftarrow H^p(X, A) \leftarrow H^p(X, B) \xleftarrow{\delta^*} H^{p-1}(B, A) \leftarrow \dots$$

왜냐하면, 아래의 short exact sequence에서 $S(X)/S(B)$ 가 free이므로, sequence가 splits하고 위에서와 같이 dualize하고 snake lemma를 적용하면 되기 때문이다.

$$0 \rightarrow S(B)/S(A) \rightarrow S(X)/S(A) \rightarrow S(X)/S(B) \rightarrow 0$$

6.(Excision)

Let $\bar{U} \subset \mathring{A}$.

Then $i : (X - U, A - U) \hookrightarrow (X, A)$ induces an isomorphism $i^* : H^*(X, A) \rightarrow H^*(X - U, A - U)$.

증명 (1st proof)

Recall $i : S^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \hookrightarrow S(X)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence, where $\mathcal{U} = \{X - U, A\}$, and hence an isomorphism on cohomology.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & S(A) & \rightarrow & S^{\mathcal{U}}(X) & \rightarrow & S^{\mathcal{U}}(X)/S(A) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\
 0 & \rightarrow & S(A) & \rightarrow & S(X) & \rightarrow & S(X)/S(A) \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Since $S^{\mathcal{U}}(X)/S(A)$ is free, we obtain the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \leftarrow & H^p(A) & \leftarrow & H^p(S^{\mathcal{U}}(X)) & \leftarrow & H^p(S^{\mathcal{U}}(X)/S(A)) & \leftarrow & \cdots \\
& & =\uparrow & & i^*\uparrow \cong & & j^*\uparrow & & \\
\cdots & \leftarrow & H^p(A) & \leftarrow & H^p(X) & \leftarrow & H^p(X, A) & \leftarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

By the 5-lemma, j^* is an isomorphism.

Furthermore, $S^{\mathcal{U}}(X)/S(A) = \frac{S(X-U)+S(A)}{S(A)} \cong \frac{S(X-U)}{S(X-U) \cap S(A)} = S(X-U, A-U)$ and this completes the proof.

□

(2nd proof) Algebraic Mapping Cone

(1) Construction

Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a chain map. Then mapping cone $Cf = \mathcal{E}$ is defined by $E_p = D_p \oplus C_{p-1}$ with $\partial(d, c) = (\partial d + f(c), -\partial c)$.

check $\partial^2 = 0$:

$$\partial^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial & f \\ 0 & -\partial \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial & f \\ 0 & -\partial \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial^2 & \partial f - f\partial \\ 0 & \partial^2 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Now

$$0 \rightarrow D_p \xrightarrow{i} E_p \xrightarrow{p} C_{p-1} \rightarrow 0$$

where $i(d) = (d, 0)$ and $p(d, c) = c$. And

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & D_{p+1} & \rightarrow & D_{p+1} \oplus C_p & \rightarrow & C_p \rightarrow 0 & \text{commutes} \\
& & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial = \begin{matrix} \partial & f \\ 0 & -\partial \end{matrix} & & \downarrow -\partial & \\
0 & \rightarrow & D_p & \rightarrow & D_p \oplus C_{p-1} & \rightarrow & C_{p-1} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

\Rightarrow

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{s.e.s. of chain complexes.}$$

where $(\mathcal{C}'_p, \partial) = (C_{p-1}, -\partial)$. Furthermore, by applying snake lemma,

$$\Rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{C}') \rightarrow H_{p-1}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\Rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H_p(Cf) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(Cf) \rightarrow \cdots$$

$\therefore f_* : H_*(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism if and only if $H_*(Cf) = 0$.

Similarly for cohomology also, if \mathcal{C} is free so that the above short exact sequence splits.

(2) Recall the following fact.

Let \mathcal{C} be a free chain complex. Then $H_*(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ (i.e. \mathcal{C} is acyclic) if and only if $id. \simeq 0$ (chain contractible). It easily follows from the comparison theorem.

Review of comparison theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots \rightarrow X_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \cdots \rightarrow X_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & X_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \rightarrow 0 & \text{augmented free chain complex over } A \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_1 & \downarrow f_0 & \downarrow \gamma & \\ \cdots \rightarrow X'_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & X'_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \cdots \rightarrow X'_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial} & X'_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A' \rightarrow 0 & \text{resolution of } A' \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow 1. \gamma$ can be lifted to a chain map $f : X \rightarrow X'$.

2. Any two liftings are chain homotopic.

Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a chain map of free chain complexes. Then the followings are equivalent.

1. $H_*(Cf) = 0$
2. f is a chain homotopy equivalence.
3. f_* is an isomorphism.

증명 Clearly 3 implies 1 and 2 implies 3.

Remains to show 1 implies 2.

$H_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists T : D_p \oplus C_{p-1} (= E_p) \rightarrow D_{p+1} \oplus C_p (= E_{p+1})$ such that $\partial T + T\partial = 1$.

Let $T_p = \begin{pmatrix} R_p & E_{p-1} \\ g_p & S_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $g_p : D_p \rightarrow C_p$.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \partial T + T\partial &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial & f \\ 0 & -\partial \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R & E \\ g & S \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R & E \\ g & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial & f \\ 0 & -\partial \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial R + fg & \partial E + fS \\ -\partial g & -\partial S \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} R\partial & Rf + E(-\partial) \\ g\partial & gf + S(-\partial) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial R + fg + R\partial = 1, \quad -\partial g + g\partial = 0, \quad -\partial S + gf - S\partial = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \partial R + R\partial = 1 - fg \\ \partial g = g\partial \\ \partial S + S\partial = gf - 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow g \text{ is a chain map and } R \text{ is a chain homotopy : } 1 \simeq fg$$

\Rightarrow chain map g is a chain homotopy inverse of f and f is a chain homotopy equivalence.

□

2nd proof of excision theorem

증명 Since i_* is an isomorphism, i is a chain homotopy equivalence. Therefore, i^* is an isomorphism.

□

(3) **Note** Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be free chain complexes, R be a P.I.D. and $\gamma_p : H_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{D}), \forall p$. Then γ is induced by a chain map ($\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$).

따름정리 3 $H_*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H_*(\mathcal{D}) \Rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{C}) \cong H^*(\mathcal{D})$

증명 Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' be free chain complexes and $\gamma_p : H_p(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{C}')$ be homomorphisms, $\forall p$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & B_p & \xrightarrow{j} & Z_p & \rightarrow & H_p & \rightarrow & 0 & \text{ free} \\ & & \downarrow \exists \alpha & & \downarrow \exists \beta & & \downarrow \gamma_p & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & B'_p & \rightarrow & Z'_p & \rightarrow & H'_p & \rightarrow & 0 & \text{ acyclic} \end{array}$$

By the comparison theorem, there exist α, β such that the above diagram commutes. We want ϕ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \overset{s}{\curvearrowright} & & & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & Z_p & \xrightarrow{i} & C_p & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{p-1} & \rightarrow & 0 & \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \alpha & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & Z'_p & \xrightarrow{i'} & C'_p & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B'_{p-1} & \rightarrow & 0 & \\ & & & & \underset{s'}{\curvearrowleft} & & & & & \end{array}$$

Since B_{p-1} and B'_{p-1} are free, $C_p \cong Z_p \oplus D_p$ and $C'_p \cong Z'_p \oplus D'_p$, where $D_p = s(B_{p-1})$ and $D'_p = s'(B'_{p-1})$.

Let $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$. Then the above diagram commutes. Hence,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \partial & & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 C_p & \rightarrow & B_{p-1} & \xrightarrow{j} & Z_{p-1} & \xrightarrow{i} & C_{p-1} \\
 \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \phi \\
 C'_p & \rightarrow & B'_{p-1} & \rightarrow & Z'_{p-1} & \rightarrow & C'_{p-1} \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 & & & \partial & & &
 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \phi$ is a chain map and $\phi|_Z = \beta$ certainly induces γ in the first diagram. \square

$$7. H^p(\text{pt.}; G) = \begin{cases} G & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } p > 0 \end{cases}$$

증명 Recall

$$\cdots \rightarrow S_3(=\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{0} S_2(=\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} S_1(=\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{0} S_0(=\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

\Rightarrow

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{\cong} S^3(=G) \xleftarrow{0} S^2(=G) \xleftarrow{\cong} S^1(=G) \xleftarrow{0} S^0(=G) \leftarrow 0$$

\square

Remark

$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{(contravariant) functoriality property} \\ \text{long exact sequence for pairs with the existence of } \delta^* \\ \text{homotopy invariance} \\ \text{excision} \\ \text{dimension axiom 7} \end{array} \right)$

\Rightarrow Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for (co)homology theory and unique for finite CW-pairs (Reference : Vick)

8. Let $\{X_\alpha\}$ be the family of path components of X . Then $H^p(X) \cong \prod_{\alpha} H^p(X_\alpha)$ for any coefficient G .

증명 $S_p(X) = \bigoplus S_p(X_\alpha), Z_p(X) = \bigoplus Z_p(X_\alpha), B_p(X) = \bigoplus B_p(X_\alpha)$ and $\text{Hom}(\bigoplus A_\alpha, B) \cong \prod_\alpha \text{Hom}(A_\alpha, B)$ □

9.(MV-sequence)

Same as homology case with reversed arrow of homs.

숙제 16 Check!

$$10. \tilde{H}^p(S^n; G) \cong \begin{cases} G & \text{if } p = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$H^p(D^n, \partial D^n; G) \cong \begin{cases} G & \text{if } p = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Same MV-sequence for adjunction space, etc.

11. Let X be a CW-complex with $\mathcal{C}(X) = \{C_p(X), \partial\}$ (cellular chain complex). Then $H^p(\mathcal{C}(X); G) \cong H^p(X; G)$

증명 See 6.(3) 따름정리 1.(R.P.I.D.) □